SERVED: June 24, 1999

NTSB Order No. EA-4776

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD WASHINGTON, D.C.

Issued under delegated authority (49 C.F.R. 800.24) on the 24th day of June, 1999

JANE F. GARVEY,)

Administrator, Federal Aviation Administration,

Complainant,

v.

LOUIS RIDGE DUSKIN,

Respondent.

Docket SE-15399

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

The Administrator has moved to dismiss the appeal filed by the respondent in this proceeding because it was not perfected by the filing of a timely appeal brief, as required by Section 821.48(a) of the Board's Rules of Practice (49 CFR Part 821).

§ 821.48(a) Briefs and oral argument.

¹Section 821.48(a) provides as follows:

⁽a) Appeal briefs. Each appeal must be perfected within 50 days after an oral initial decision has been rendered, or 30 days after service of a written initial decision, by filing with the Board and serving on the other party a brief in support of the appeal. Appeals may be dismissed by the Board on its own initiative or on motion of the other party, in cases where a party who has filed a notice of appeal fails to perfect his appeal by filing a timely brief.

We will grant the motion, to which respondent filed no response.

The record establishes that respondent filed a timely notice of appeal from the oral initial decision the law judge rendered on March 24, 1999, but he did not file an appeal brief within 50 days after that date; that is, by May 13, and he has not to date filed an appeal brief.³

In the absence of good cause for respondent's failure to perfect his appeal by filing a timely appeal brief, dismissal of his appeal is required by Board precedent. See <u>Administrator v.</u> Hooper, 6 NTSB 559 (1988).

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Administrator's motion to dismiss is granted; and
- 2. The respondent's appeal is dismissed.

Daniel D. Campbell General Counsel

²The law judge affirmed an order of the Administrator revoking any airman pilot certificate held by respondent, including Private Pilot Certificate No. 548722193, for his alleged violations of sections 61.3(c), 61.23(a)(3)(i), 67.403(a)(1) or, in the alternative, 67.403(c)(1), 91,203(a)(1), and 91.13(a) of the Federal Aviation Regulations, 14 CFR Parts 61, 67 and 91.

³The record reflects that respondent had previously been furnished a copy of the Board's Rules of Practice.